

SEC Proposes Amendments to the Definition of Smaller Reporting Company

The SEC has proposed to amend the definition of a “smaller reporting company” to expand the number of companies that qualify for this classification and are therefore able to take advantage of the scaled disclosures in Regulation S-X and Regulation S-K that apply to such companies. As noted by SEC Chair Mary Jo White in the SEC’s press release on the proposal, the amendments are intended to “promote capital formation and reduce compliance costs for smaller companies while maintaining important investor protections.”

The proposal would increase the public float threshold from the current \$75 million to less than \$250 million. If a company does not have a public float, it would qualify as a smaller reporting company if its annual revenues were less than \$100 million, an increase from the current threshold of less than \$50 million. Further, once a company exceeds the applicable public float or revenue threshold, it will not qualify as a smaller reporting company until its public float is less than \$200 million or, for a company without a public float, until its annual revenues are less than \$80 million.

The proposal does not change the \$75 million public float threshold in the SEC’s definition of “accelerated filer.” Therefore, a company could qualify as a smaller reporting company and be eligible for the scaled disclosures but may also be an accelerated filer and subject to those requirements, including the shorter deadlines for periodic filings and the requirement to include an auditor’s attestation report on internal control over financial reporting.

The proposal requests feedback on a number of items, including, but not limited to, whether:

- Thresholds for smaller reporting company status should be raised or, if the thresholds remain the same, whether they should be adjusted for inflation.
- Raising the thresholds would promote capital formation or liquidity or, alternatively, whether it would result in a loss of material information about registrants.
- The threshold should be based on both public float and revenue and, if so, what the threshold amounts should be.
- Criteria other than public float or, in the absence of public float, revenue should be considered in the definition of a smaller reporting company and, if so, what criteria.
- The SEC should consider allowing a revenue-only test for a company to qualify as a smaller reporting company.
- The SEC should periodically revisit the qualifying thresholds for smaller reporting company status.

Comments on the proposed rule are due 60 days after the date of its publication in the *Federal Register*. For more information, see the [press release](#) and [proposed rule](#) on the SEC’s Web site.

This publication is provided as an information service by Deloitte’s National Office and may contain summaries of Deloitte’s observations at meetings or other events. Such summaries are believed to be accurate; however, no representation can be made that it is complete or without error. Deloitte is not, by means of this publication, rendering accounting, business, financial, investment, legal, tax, or other professional advice or services. This publication is not a substitute for such professional advice or services, nor should it be used as a basis for any decision or action that may affect your business. Before making any decision or taking any action that may affect your business, you should consult a qualified professional advisor. Deloitte shall not be responsible for any loss sustained by any person who relies on this publication.

As used in this document, “Deloitte” means Deloitte & Touche LLP, a subsidiary of Deloitte LLP. Please see www.deloitte.com/us/about for a detailed description of the legal structure of Deloitte LLP and its subsidiaries. Certain services may not be available to attest clients under the rules and regulations of public accounting.